1			LAGE
2			
3			*
4			DANKY PANKY
5			Established 1859
6			Village of Paw Paw
7			Planning Commission Regular Meeting
8			Thursday, May 2, 2024
9			MEETING MINUTES
10	ι.	Call to Order	
11		Chair Larson calle	d the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.
12	П.	Roll Call	
13		PRESENT:	KATHY LARSON, CHAIR
14			MICHAEL PIOCH, VICE CHAIR
15			EMILLY HICKMOTT, SECRETARY
16			NICK MARTINEZ, VILLAGE COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVE
17			JEFF BROWN
18			
19		ABSENT:	DAVID BOGEN, EXCUSED
20			TOM PALENICK
21			
22		ALSO PRESENT:	TRICIA ANDERSON, WILLIAMS & WORKS, VILLAGE PLANNER
23			BRYAN MYRKLE, VILLAGE MANAGER
24			
25	III.	Approval of Agen	da
26		Commissioner Pio	ch moved, with support from Commissioner Hickmott, to approve the
27		agenda for the Ma	ay 2, 2024 Planning Commission meeting agenda.
28		Motion carried un	animously by voice vote.
29			

30	IV.	Approval of Meeting Minutes from April 4, 2024
31		A. April 4, 2024 Draft Meeting Minutes
32 33		Commissioner Brown moved, with support from Commissioner Pioch, to approve the meeting minutes from April 4, 2024, as presented.
34		Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.
35		
36	V.	Public Comment None
37		
38	VI.	New Business None
39		
40	VII.	Old Business
41 42		A. <u>PUBLIC HEARING (adjourned at 4/4/24 meeting): Mobile Food Vending Ordinance</u> <u>Amendment Recommendations:</u>
43 44		1. Amendment to Chapter 24, Peddlers, Solicitors, and Transient Merchants, of the General Code of Ordinances – Ordinance to Amend
45 46		2. Amendments to Sections 42-3, Definitions, Section 42-373, Supplemental Regulations, and Section 42-367, Special Land Uses – Ordinance to Amend
47 48		Commissioner Pioch moved, with support from Commissioner Martinez, to reopen the public hearing from the April 4 <sup>th</sup> , 2024 Planning Commission Meeting.
49		Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.
50 51		Chair Larson recognized the members of the public who were present and also worked in the mobile food vending industry.
52 53		Andrew DuBiel, of Gobles, provided some information on procedures written into ordinances he has encountered in other communities.
54 55		Chair Larson asked if he was required to produce liability insurance for the property owner that the food truck is operating from.
56		Mr. DuBiel noted that he does carry liability insurance.
57 58 59		Commissioner Pioch recalled at the last meeting that one change that was proposed was that the mobile food vendor should be named as the applicant, as opposed to the property owner.
60		Ms. Anderson noted that she did make that change.
61 62		Chair Larson asked about how the fee gets set. Ms. Anderson relayed that the Village staff would make a recommendation to the Village Council on an appropriate fee for

- 63 processing and issuing zoning permits for Mobile Food Vending. The Village Council 64 would then adopt an amended fee schedule with the added fee.
- 65 Ms. Anderson noted that she wasn't certain that fees would go toward any type of 66 inspection, as the Interim Village Manager noted that the Paw Paw Fire Department 67 does not conduct inspections.
- 68 Mr. Dubiel informed the Planning Commission that the Oshtemo Township Fire 69 Department is operating a pilot project through the State of Michigan that provides 70 mobile food vendors with fire safety training related specifically to mobile food vending. 71 He noted that they also perform an inspection of the mobile food vending unit and 72 provide a QR code that fire departments in other jurisdictions can scan to confirm the 73 mobile food vendor's compliance with fire codes.
- Chair Larson asked Mr. DuBiel if he read through the ordinance and if he had any
  comments. Mr. DuBiel noted that the draft ordinance has a section that relates to noise,
  and relayed that some generators can be quite noisy. He said that some communities
  use a maximum decibel level in the ordinance, which helps avoid different perspectives
  on what "loud" is.
- 79 Commissioner Brown asked about the difference between a temporary and a long-term 80 mobile food vendor. Ms. Anderson clarified that the main difference is that the mobile 81 food vending unit would not move for the entire 90 consecutive days. She added that 82 the temporary mobile food vending could bring the unit to the site for 90 days as part of 83 its annual permit, but if it leaves at night, then it's considered temporary. She also 84 added that she made some changes to the permitting and that she felt it would be 85 easier to issue an annual permit. Mr. DuBiel noted that he knows the schedule for where his unit will be stationed for his entire season. 86
- Mr. Myrkle noted that if staff is administering the applications, tracking them, and
  spending time in the field doing inspections, etc. that the fee should be substantial
  enough to cover the cost of the time it takes to administer. He added that the \$50 that
  the Planning Commission briefly discussed may not be enough to cover these costs and
  that the Village should not "subsidize" a private profit-making enterprise.
- 92 Chair Larson was curious about whether the Paw Paw fire department was aware of the 93 pilot program that Oshtemo Township is offering for the state as it relates to fire safety.
- 94 Mr. Myrkle noted that he would find out.
- 95 Mr. Myrkle also added that he'd like to make the following suggestions:
- 96 Existing restaurants in the Central Business District may find the 100' separation
  97 distance is too close, and he would be interested in hearing from representatives
  98 from the Village's restaurant community.
- 99 A requirement for trash cans should be added.

100	<ul> <li>He's concerned that the restaurant folks in the Village should be specifically</li></ul>
101	informed and make an effort to attend a Planning Commission meeting or the
102	Village should try to reach out and connect directly with them to solicit feedback
103	on the ordinance draft.
104	<ul> <li>Mobile Food Vendors permitted to operate in the public road right of way will</li></ul>
105	need more robust and specific standards to adhere to, other than the
106	requirement to simply gain approval by DPS.
107	<ul> <li>He feels that the DPS should coordinate with the police department on what</li></ul>
108	standards should be met in order for those departments to sign off on a Mobile
109	Food Vending permit, and if is .
110	<ul> <li>Other Village sanctioned events, such as Paw Paw days, should be noted or</li></ul>
111	referenced in the ordinance.
112	<ul> <li>The use of the word "public property" should be further defined, as public road</li></ul>
113	rights of way also fall into this category.
114 115 116 117 118 119	There was more discussion about how the Village could reach more people and that the Kalamazoo newspaper has been utilized for public notices. Chair Larson noted that most people do not read that paper, because it is not free. Mr. Myrkle had several recommendations on how the restaurant owners could be reached and added that it would take time and effort. He noted that he is neutral on the issue, but he would like to see the constituents of the community have an opportunity to comment.
120 121	Commissioner Martinez wondered if partnering with the DDA would be beneficial and if they may be a good group to connect the restaurant owners to the draft ordinance.
122 123	Commissioner Brown didn't think it would be a policy change and thought bringing in the DDA would be a good idea.
124 125	Chair Larson noted that she would like Mr. Myrkle to check with other departments as it relates to what concerns they foresee.
126 127	Commissioner Hickmott went through her list of comments and noted some typos in the ordinance, etc.
128	Ms. Anderson indicated that the comment related to sound and using a decibel level
129	should align with any codes related to noise. She noted that she will need to search the
130	Code of Ordinances for any regulations related to noise to determine if a decibel level is
131	used as a threshold for when noise becomes a violation of the Ordinance. The
132	consensus was to change it to say it must comply with any provisions the Code of
133	Ordinances has related to noise.
134 135 136	Mr. Myrkle noted that there is an upcoming DDA meeting and that he is willing to present the draft of the Mobile Food Vending ordinance. He attends the DDA meetings regularly.

137 138		Commissioner Pioch moved to adjourn the public hearing, with support from Commissioner Martinez.
139		Motion carried unanimously by voice vote.
140		
141	VIII.	Planning Commission Member Comments
142 143 144 145		Chair Larson relayed some information from some articles she read that she felt were relevant to the Planning Commission. She also informed the PC about a training that is coming in a nearby community being held by MSU extension. She felt it would be beneficial for the Planning Commission to attend.
146 147		Chair Larson also noted that the Dollar General site was the subject of some contamination from the prior use and believes that the plume continues to migrate.
148 149		Commissioner Hickmott informed the PC that she completed the Citizen Planner course through MSU extension. She asked about the Master Citizen Planner course.
150 151 152 153		Mr. Myrkle gave an update on some of the projects that will be coming to the PC in the near future and added that the Village Council had an opportunity to consider the first reading of the Short-Term Rental ordinance, but did not take any action, as some provisions will need some clarification first.
154		
155	IX.	Staff/Consultant Comments
156 157 158		Ms. Anderson noted that if and when the Village uses the MSHDA grant to fund the master plan update and the Zoning Ordinance update, she recommended that the Village places a significant focus on the Zoning Ordinance since there is a lot of work to do there.
159		
160	х.	Adjournment.

161 The meeting adjourned at 9:45 pm.

Tricia Anderson, AICP – Williams & Works Recording Secretary